AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently amended) A method for determining generating a test suite for a

current software build, comprising:

obtaining a current software build;

obtaining a reference software build;

comparing the current software build to the reference software build to identify areas of

the current software build that have been modified with regard to the reference software build;

and

selecting generating a focused test suite from a master test suite according to the

identified areas, such that a test in the focused test suite, when executed, will exercise at least one

identified area of the current software build that has been modified with regard to the reference

software build.

2. (Original) The method of Claim 1 further comprising generating information

identifying areas of the current software build that have been modified with regard to the

reference software build that cannot be exercised by at least one test in the master test suite.

3. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the current software build is compared

to the reference software build according to the modification dates of corresponding source files

found in both the current software build and the reference software build.

4. (Original) The method of Claim 1, wherein the current software build is compared

to the reference software build by comparing the executable codes for a routine found in both the

current software build and the reference software build.

5. (Currently amended) A computer system for determining generating a test suite

for a current software build, the system comprising:

a processor; and

a memory, wherein the memory stores:

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-2-

a reference software build;

a master test suite comprised of tests for testing the current software build;

a comparison module which, when executed by the processor, that obtains the current software build and compares it to the reference software build, identifying those areas of

the current software build that have changed with regard to the reference software build; and

an analysis module, which when executed by the processor, determines that

generates a focused test suite from the master test suite according to the identified areas of the

current software build that have changed with regard to the reference software build.

6. (Original) The system of Claim 5, where the analysis module further identifies

those areas of the current software build that have been modified with regard to the reference

software build that cannot be exercised by at least one test in the master test suite.

7. (Original) The system of Claim 5, wherein the comparison module compares the

current software build to the reference software build according to the modification dates of a

source file common to both the current software build and the reference software build.

8. (Original) The system of Claim 5, wherein the comparison module compares the

current software build to the reference software build according to the executable codes for a

routine common to both the current software build and the reference software build.

(Original) A method for testing a current software build, comprising: 9.

obtaining information relating to a current software build;

obtaining information relating to a reference software build;

comparing information relating to the current software build to information relating to the

reference software build to identify areas of the current software build that have been modified

with regard to the reference software build;

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPILIC 1420 Fifth Avenue

selecting a focused test suite from a master test suite according to the identified areas,

such that the focused test suite will exercise the identified areas of the current software build that

have been modified with regard to the reference software build when executed; and

testing the current software build using the focused test suite.

10. (Original) The method of Claim 9 further comprising generating information

identifying areas of the current software build that have been modified with regard to the

reference software build that cannot be exercised by at least one test in the master test suite.

11. (Original) The method of Claim 9, wherein information relating to the current

software build is compared to information relating to the reference software build according to

the modification dates of corresponding source files found in both the current software build and

the reference software build.

12. (Original) The method of Claim 9, wherein information relating to the current

software build is compared to information relating to the reference software build by comparing

the executable codes for a routine found in both the current software build and the reference

software build.

13. (Original) A computer system for testing a current software build, the system

comprising:

a storage means that stores a reference software build, and also stores a master test suite

comprised of tests for testing the current software build;

a comparison means that obtains the current software build, compares the reference

software build to the current software build, identifying those areas of the current software build

that have changed from the reference software build;

an analysis means that determines a focused test suite from the master test suite according

to the identified areas of the current software build that have changed from the reference

-4-

software build; and

a test means that exercises the focused test suite on the current software build.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLC</sup> 1420 Fifth Avenue 14. (Original) The system of Claim 11, where the analysis means further identifies

those areas of the current software build that have been modified with regard to the reference

software build that cannot be exercised by at least one test in the master test suite.

15. (Original) The system of Claim 11, wherein the comparison means compares the

current software build to the reference software build according to the modification dates of a

source file common to both the current software build and the reference software build.

16. (Original) The system of Claim 11, wherein the comparison means compares the

current software build to the reference software build according to the executable codes for a

routine common to both the current software build and the reference software build.

17. (Currently amended) A computer-readable medium bearing computer-readable

instructions which, when executed, carry out the method comprising:

obtaining a current software build;

obtaining a reference software build;

comparing the current software build to the reference software build to identify areas of

the current software build that have been modified with regard to the reference software build;

and

selecting generating a focused test suite from a master test suite according to the

identified areas, such that the focused test suite will to exercise the identified areas of the current

software build that have been modified with regard to the reference software build when

executed.

18. (Original) The method of the computer-readable medium of Claim 17 further

comprising testing the current software build using the focused test suite.

19. (Original) The method of the computer-readable medium of Claim 17 further

comprising generating information identifying areas of the current software build that have been

-5-

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue modified with regard to the reference software build that cannot be exercised by at least one test in the master test suite.

20. (Original) The method of the computer-readable medium of Claim 17, wherein

the current software build is compared to the reference software build according to the

modification dates of corresponding source files found in both the current software build and the

reference software build.

21. (Original) The method of the computer-readable medium of Claim 17, wherein

the current software build is compared to the reference software build by comparing the

executable codes for a routine found in both the current software build and the reference

software build.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100